## Case 1:16-cv-01539-PGG Document 20 Filed 09/15/16 Page 1 of 7 1

G7S5mejS	sentence	
SOUTHERN DISTR	DISTRICT COURT ICT OF NEW YORK x	
CARLOS MEJIA,		
	Plaintiff,	New York, N.Y.
v.		16 CIV. 1539 (PGG)(AJP)
TRIBECA BAKED al.,	FOODS CORP., et	
	Defendants.	
	x	
		July 28, 2016 12:05 p.m.
Before:		
	HON. ANDREW J	. PECK,
		Magistrate Judge
	APPEARANC	CES
LAW OFFICE OF	FINN W. DUSENBERY	
Attorneys BY: FINN W. D	for Plaintiff USENBERY	
KAUFMAN, DOLOWICH & VOLUCK, LLP Attorneys for Defendants		
BY: JEFFERY A		

1 (Case called)

THE COURT: We are on the record in the case of Carlos Mejia against Tribeca Foods corp, et al., 16 civil 1539, to record the settlement agreement that the parties have just reached with the Court's assistance.

I will state the terms as I understand them. I ask counsel and clients to pay careful attention, stop me with the universal time out signal if we need to clarify anything, and at the end I will be asking counsel and clients to confirm that that is the settlement that they have reached. And then, after that, I will ask for statements to go into the *Cheeks* approval process.

The parties have amicably agreed to resolve this case without any admission of fault or liability by defendants on the following terms:

Defendants will pay the sum of \$15,000 in complete satisfaction of this case. The payments will be made in four installments. The first payment of \$6,000 will be made by August 15th. Thereafter, there will be three monthly payments of \$3,000 each on September 15, October 15, and November 15. If any of those dates turn out to be a weekend or a holiday, then the payment is due the next business day.

Out of the money, one third plus \$5,000 -- let's just do the math on that, \$5,000 plus \$500 -- \$5,500 will be payable to Mr. Dusenbery's law firm for his attorneys fees and cost. A

1099 will be issued to his firm for that purpose. He will supply defense counsel with the Tax Identification Number for that.

As to the balance of \$9,500 payable to Mr. Mejia, since it is wages, it is to be paid on a W-2 basis which means that deductions will be made both for tax withholding but also both on the employer and employee side for FICA, Social Security, and everything else that is required in connection with wages.

Off the record.

(Discussion off record)

THE COURT: Back on the record.

Mr. Dusenbery has said that he will only seek \$400 in costs so it is \$5,400 to him and \$9,600 to Mr. Mejia. The payments for Mr. Mejia will be by check, in his name, but the checks will be delivered to Mr. Dusenbery's firm so that Mr. Dusenbery can make sure that payments have been received.

In the event any payment is not received by the due date, Mr. Dusenbery will give Mr. Meyer notice and a five calendar-day opportunity to cure and if not cured, then the entire outstanding balance will then be due and, if not paid again after that notice and five-day cure period, then Mr. Dusenbery, on behalf of his client, can seek entry of judgment for the amount. But, from everything I have heard from Mr. Meyer and his client, there aren't going to be any

| G/SJIIIe JS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

problems with the payments.

The parties have agreed to entry of an order of dismissal by me pursuant to 28 U.S. Code Section 636(c) and that that consent purpose will also apply to the *Cheeks* approval process. The Court will enter the dismissal order today.

Anything else that we should be talking about in connection with the settlement?

MR. MEYER: I think it goes without saying, your Honor, given the *Cheeks* approval process, but this settlement does include a release of all FLSA and New York Labor Law claims and any wage-related claim that arises from this lawsuit.

THE COURT: All right. Very good.

I think the dismissal of it would achieve that but belt and suspenders can't hurt.

MR. MEYER: Understood.

MR. DUSENBERY: That's acceptable, your Honor.

THE COURT: Good.

All right, Mr. Dusenbery, as counsel for Mr. Mejia and subject to his consent that I will ask for next, do you agree to the terms of the settlement?

MR. DUSENBERY: I do, your Honor. And Mr. Mejia agrees.

THE COURT: Mr. Mejia, let me just hear of your own

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

voice; do you agree to the terms of the settlement?

PLAINTIFF: I agree.

THE COURT: Very good.

Mr. Meyer, as counsel of record for the defendants and subject to your client's consent that I will ask for next, do you agree to the terms of the settlement?

> I agree, your Honor. MR. MEYER:

THE COURT: And Mr. Panayiotou -- and I am sorry if I am mispronouncing it --

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: As an individual defendant and officer of the corporate defendant, do you agree to the terms of the settlement?

> THE DEFENDANT: I agree.

THE COURT: All parties having agreed, you have a binding settlement.

The Second Circuit under Cheeks vs. Freeport Pancake House requires judicial approval. Mr. Dusenbery, why don't you make the first statement in connection as to why you believe that the settlement is fair and reasonable to Mr. Mejia.

> MR. DUSENBERY: Thank you, your Honor.

I believe the settlement is fair and reasonable to Mr. Mejia because it allows him to recover almost all of his, what I would call hard damages which would be wages owed for minimum wage overtime and spread of hours violations. And

G/S5mejS

given that there is a risk in this case that Mr. Mejia's testimony would not be credited by a jury, he might not recover anything at all, especially since this is an off-the-clock case.

So, for those reasons, I believe the settlement is fair and reasonable.

THE COURT: Mr. Meyer, anything you would like to add?

MR. MEYER: I would just say that given the bona fide

dispute that the parties have regarding the hours worked, the

dates of employment, the pay structure, and the inherent risks

of litigation as well as the documents and the burden shifting

that does occur in these wage cases that defendants have

produced, we believe the settlement to be fair and reasonable

for all parties and I would just ask that your Honor approve

the settlement.

THE COURT: All right.

Based on the statements from both counsel, the fact that this was clearly an arm's length settlement effort by the parties supervised by the Court and particularly because, based on the calculation made by Mr. Dusenbery, even if everything turned out the way the plaintiff claims with respect to the number of hours worked per week and the pay structure, plaintiff's calculation would be overtime and minimum wage unpaid in the amount of \$11,000 and change. He is getting, after attorney' fees, \$10,600 which is probably 95 or 99

G7S5mejS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

percent of that. There may be an additional amount owed for spread of hours, although the Court suspects that that minimal amount is even more minimal because it was based on a spread of five hour-shifts per week which seems excessive with 48 hours worked. It is probably more like three or four such shifts. In any event, it is certainly close enough and as the parties said, there are legitimate arguments as to whether the tip credit is applicable since Mr. Mejia was a tipped employee and whether he indeed worked as many hours as he claimed.

For all of these reasons and the reasons stated by counsel, the Court is prepared to and does approve the settlement under Cheeks and therefore it is now a binding I will enter the dismissal order later and counsel agreement. will be getting a copy of that on the ECF system.

I direct the two sides to purchase the transcript. That gets you a 50/50 split of the cost of the transcript and I direct that you do so so that the transcript is of record, as Cheeks and other Second Circuit cases require not only judicial approval but a public nature of the settlement terms. And I instruct the court reporter's office to inform the Court if the parties have not purchased the transcript.

With that, good luck to both sides. Thank you, counsel, for your excellent work here.

We are adjourned.

000